# GAMMA-RAY LARGE AREA SPACE TELESCOPE (GLAST) PROJECT

# GROUND DATA SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

July 1, 2003



GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER GREENBELT, MARYLAND

#### GAMMA-RAY LARGE AREA SPACE TELESCOPE (GLAST) PROJECT

# GROUND DATA SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

July 1, 2003

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Greenbelt, Maryland

## GLAST GROUND DATA SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Prepared by:	
Original Signed	07/14/03
David Harmon Software Quality Engineer	Date
Concurrence:	
Original Signed	07/09/03
Ron Kolecki GLAST Systems Assurance Manager	Date
Original Signed	07/14/03
Mike Rackley Mission Operations and Ground Systems Mgr.	Date
Approved by:	
Original Signed	07/22/03
Kevin Grady GLAST Project Manager	Date

#### **CHANGE RECORD PAGE**

**DOCUMENT TITLE:** GLAST Ground Data System Mission Assurance Requirements

**DOCUMENT DATE:** July 1, 2003

ISSUE	DATE	PAGES AFFECTED	DESCRIPTION
Original	07/01/03	All	Baseline. CCR 433-0157.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	GENERAL 1	
1.1	Scope	1
1.2	Applicable Documents (Section 3.0)	1
1.3	Acronyms (Section 4.0)	
1.4	Overall System Safety and Mission Assurance (S&MA) Requirements	2
1.5	Surveillance of Developer	
1.6	S&MA-Related Deliverables (Appendix A)	2
2.0	Ground Data System Assurance Requirements 4	
2.1	Quality Management System	4
2.2	Requirements	
2.3	Reviews	
2.4	Assurance Activities	5
2.5	Requirements Phase	
2.6	Design Phase	
2.7	Implementation Phase	7
2.8	Testing Phase	7
2.9	Delivery Phase	9
2.10	COTS, Existing and Purchased Software	9
2.11		
2.12	Databases	10
2.13	Security Assurance	10
2.14	Electromagnetic Compatibility Control	11
2.15	Reliability, Availability, and Maintainability	11
2.16	Risk Management	11
2.17	Software Configuration Management	12
2.18	System Safety	13
2.19	Problem Reporting and Corrective Action	13
2.20	Independent Verification and Validation	13
3.0	Referenced Documents 14	
4.0	Acronyms 15	
	NDI X AA-1	
DID	A: Performance Assurance Implementation Plan	A-4
DID	B: Quality Manual	A-5
DID	C: Quality Assurance Plan	A-6
DID	D: Software Development Plan	A-7
DID	E: GDS Requirements	A-8
DID	F: GDS Review Packages	A-9
DID	G: Ground Operations Procedures	A-11
DID	I: System Performance Verification Plan	A-12
DID	J: Performance Verification Procedure	A-13
	K: Verification Reports	
	N: Risk Management Plan	
DID	O: Software Configuration Management Plan	A-17
	Tables	
<b>T</b> -!!		4.0
i able i	A-1: Summary of Safety and Mission Assurance Related Deliverables	A-2

CHECK THE GLAST PROJECT WEBSITE AT <a href="http://glast.gsfc.nasa.gov/project/cm/mcdl">http://glast.gsfc.nasa.gov/project/cm/mcdl</a> TO VERIFY THAT THIS IS THE CORRECT VERSION PRIOR TO USE.

#### 1.0 GENERAL

This document, referred to as the "Ground Data System MAR," defines the Safety and Mission Assurance (S&MA) requirements for the GLAST Ground Data System (GDS). Additional mission assurance requirements are defined in the Statement of Work (SOW).

References to the "developer" in this document are directed to the developer of GLAST GDS components. References to the "SAM" refer to the NASA GSFC GLAST Project Systems Assurance Manager. References to the "Government" or the "GLAST Project Office" refer to the NASA GSFC GLAST Project Office.

The developer shall create a Performance Assurance Implementation Plan (PAIP) that provides a comprehensive plan for accomplishing the S&MA activities in compliance with the requirements herein. The PAIP shall describe the developer's system for planning, documentation, and controls that will ensure complete traceability through all phases of the design, implementation, test, and operation of deliverable items. The developer's PAIP shall be delivered or made available to the Government in accordance with **DID A**.

#### The PAIP shall include:

- a. An overview of the developer's plan for accomplishing the assurance activities required by the GDS MAR
- b. Specific and detailed description of how the performance assurance requirements are to be accomplished. Referenced documents that provide the required details shall be submitted with the PAIP.
- c. The rationale for any planned noncompliance to the GDS MAR including the details of the developer's alternate approach, if any, to meet the specific GDS MAR requirement

If the developer delivers other documentation that meets the requirements of the PAIP, then the PAIP will not be a required deliverable. If other documentation is utilized to meet these requirements, the delivery dates shall remain the same.

# 1.1 Scope

The requirements stated in this attachment apply to all work accomplished by the GDS developer and their subcontractors.

# 1.2 Applicable Documents (Section 3.0)

To the extent referenced herein, applicable portions of the documents listed in Section 3.0 form a part of this document (i.e., the GLAST GDS MAR). The latest version of

each document, at the time of the issue of this document, is applicable. In the event of a conflict between the documents listed in Section 3.0 and this requirements specification, the contents of this specification shall be considered the superseding requirements. In the event of a conflict between this Mission Assurance Requirements document and the Mission Operations Center (MOC) Statement of Work (SOW), the SOW shall take precedence. In the event of any other unresolved conflict, the contracting officer shall be notified, and the order of precedence will be as directed by the contracting officer.

## 1.3 Acronyms (Section 4.0)

Section 4.0 defines the acronyms used in this document.

# 1.4 Overall System Safety and Mission Assurance (S&MA) Requirements

The developer is required to plan and implement an organized S&MA Program that encompasses all ground system software and hardware critical for mission success.

Managers of the developer assurance activities shall have direct access to developer management independent of project management, with the functional freedom and authority to interact with all other elements of the project. Issues requiring project management attention shall be addressed with the developer(s) through the Project Manager(s) and/or Contracting Officer Technical Representative(s) (COTR).

# 1.5 Surveillance of Developer

The work activities, operations, and documentation performed by the developer and/or his suppliers are subject to evaluation, review, audit, and inspection by Government-designated representatives from GSFC, the Government Inspection Agency (GIA), or an independent assurance contractor (IAC). The developer and/or suppliers shall grant access for NASA and/or NASA representatives to conduct an assessment/survey upon notice. Resources shall be provided to assist with the assessment/survey with minimal disruption to work activities. The developer, upon request, shall provide government assurance representatives with the documents, records, and equipment required to perform their S&MA activities. The developer shall also provide the government assurance representative(s) with an acceptable work area within developer facilities.

# 1.6 S&MA-Related Deliverables (Appendix A)

Appendix A of this document contains Data Item Descriptions (DID's) that describe S&MA-related data deliverable to the Government; i.e., the NASA GSFC Project Office. The "DID letters" cited throughout this document refer to the numbers listed on the DID's contained in Appendix A. Deliverables may be received/reviewed by GSFC personnel at either GSFC or at the developer's facility as specified in the respective DID.

The following definitions apply with respect to S&MA deliverables:

Deliver for Approval: Documents in this category require written GSFC

approval prior to use. Requirements for resubmission

shall be as specified in the letter(s) of disapproval.

Deliver for Information/Review: Documents in this category require receipt by GSFC for

the purpose of determining current program status, progress, and future planning requirements. When Government evaluations reveal inadequacies, the

developer will be directed to correct the documents.

# 2.0 Ground Data System Assurance Requirements

GDS components may include but are not limited to GDS software, firmware and hardware, ground support elements (simulators, etc), Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS), databases, key parameter and test checkout software, and any software developed under the project that is related to flight mission operations. These components may be developed in-house entirely by the developer, provided by a sub-developer/subcontractor to the developer, purchased by the government, purchased by the developer, or furnished by other parties including the government.

# 2.1 Quality Management System

The developer shall have a Quality Management System (QMS) that is compliant with American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/American Society for Quality (ASQ) Q9001 or equivalent. In all cases the development effort shall provide evidence (quality records for GSFC review) as insight to the quality of the developing software, hardware and other GDS components as evidence of application of QMS processes, and as status of assurance problems, safety issues and organizational/personnel changes. Quality records shall include any corrective actions, relating to GDS development, recommended by QMS audits. The developer will allow NASA audits, when deemed necessary by the Project Manager, to assure compliance of the developer's QMS with ANSI/ASQ Q9001 and to assure that the QMS is applied to the contracted activities. If one exists, the developers Quality Manual shall be delivered or made available to the Government in accordance with **DID B**.

The developer shall maintain/possess a QA organization/entity that is assigned the responsibility to monitor the development process, and the associated components/products. QA shall interface with all relevant disciplines participating in the lifecycle activities including engineering, configuration management and testing. The QA group is empowered to alert project management to effect changes to the program when quality goals are not being met.

The developer shall follow a written QA plan for measuring and monitoring the performance of the program's defined management and development processes. The developer shall verify adherence to the defined development and management processes. The developer shall perform audits on designated work products to verify compliance with quality goals, and adherence to the applicable standards and requirements. The developer shall identify training to be performed. A Quality Assurance Plan shall be delivered to the Government in accordance with **DID C**. If the developer delivers other documentation that meets the requirements of the Quality Assurance Plan, then the Quality Assurance Plan will not be required to be a deliverable.

The developer shall plan and document software development processes and procedures, software tools, resources, and deliverables throughout the lifecycle. A Software Development Plan (SDP) shall be delivered to the Government in accordance with <u>DID D</u>. If the developer delivers other documentation that meets the requirements of the SDP, then the SDP will not be required to be a deliverable.

## 2.2 Requirements

The developer shall identify, document and maintain GDS requirements that will serve as the basis of the development, implementation, operation and maintenance of the GDS and its components. These requirements shall include but are not limited to functional, performance, reliability, maintainability, safety and test/verification requirements.

The developer shall work with GSFC and/or other entities as necessary to resolve any problems/issues associated with the GDS requirements.

The developer shall baseline the GDS requirements early in the development effort, specifically in conjunction with a formal requirement review. The developer shall maintain the GDS requirements under configuration control throughout the lifecycle. All changes to the GDS requirements, including those generated both internally and externally, shall be managed by the developer's Configuration Control Board (CCB) process and reviewed/approved as applicable by GSFC.

GDS requirements shall be delivered to the Government in accordance with DID E.

#### 2.3 Reviews

The developer shall implement a program of engineering reviews (peer reviews) throughout the development lifecycle to identify and resolve concerns prior to formal, system level reviews.

The developer shall conduct a program of planned, scheduled, and documented system level reviews. GDS review packages shall be delivered to the Government in accordance with **DID F**.

#### 2.4 Assurance Activities

The developer shall perform various assurance-related activities throughout the development lifecycle to ensure that the GDS and its components meet GDS requirements. The developer shall initiate these activities as early in the development lifecycle as possible, specifically in the concept phase, and continue these activities into the operations and maintenance phase where applicable. Some of these assurance-

related activities are applicable to all phases of the lifecycle and the developer shall conduct these activities throughout the entire lifecycle.

## 2.5 Requirements Phase

Specific assurance-related activities that the developer shall perform during the requirements phase include but are not limited to the following (Note: Some of these activities may be performed prior to this phase or subsequent to this phase where applicable):

- a. Analyze and refine the requirements to assure they are consistent, clear, valid, feasible, compatible, complete, testable and do not include inappropriate level of design information
- b. Ensure requirements are generated, analyzed, refined, decomposed and allocated to appropriate GDS components through the use of a systems analysis and allocation process. This process shall be used to verify requirements are correct and complete at each level prior to further allocation and decomposition, and to verify them for feasibility and top-level design concept prior to further allocation.
- c. Establish functional, performance, safety, reliability, maintainability and test/verification requirements for each incremental system (delivery/build) as applicable. This process should assure all requirements are allocated to planned increments prior to the design and development of the increment.
- d. Manage allocation of new and additional requirements between hardware, software and other components by a change review and control process; and manage the reallocation of existing requirements between hardware, software and other components by a change review and control process
- e. Use a defined process to generate, review and allocate interface requirements
- f. Maintain a process to provide, ensure and maintain two-way requirements traceability from system specifications to hardware, software and other components that serve as configuration items. This requirement traceability shall be established and documented as early in the lifecycle as possible.
- g. Generate, document and maintain a requirements verification matrix
- h. Conduct a requirement review and at the end of each phase of the development process ensure requirements are complete and testable

# 2.6 Design Phase

Specific assurance-related activities that the developer shall perform during the design phase include but are not limited to the following (Note: Some of these activities may be performed prior to this phase as applicable.):

- a. Maintain a process to define, maintain, and document interfaces (both internal and external) within the architecture
- b. Allocate and maintain traceability between the GDS architecture/components and the GDS requirements

CHECK THE GLAST PROJECT WEBSITE AT http://glast.gsfc.nasa.gov/project/cm/mcdl TO VERIFY THAT THIS IS THE CORRECT VERSION PRIOR TO USE.

- c. Conduct design walkthroughs and reviews
- d. Place the design under configuration control

## 2.7 Implementation Phase

Specific assurance-related activities that the developer shall perform during the implementation phase include but are not limited to the following (Note: Some of these activities may be performed prior to this phase as applicable):

- a. Define, schedule, and document the components of each build, delivery and/or release
- b. Conduct peer reviews/walkthroughs for code
- c. Conduct unit testing
- d. Conduct reviews and appropriate tests at the end of this phase of the development process to ensure that the requirements have been correctly implemented into design, code, documentation and data
- e. Allocate and maintain traceability between the GDS architecture/components and the GDS requirements

# 2.8 Testing Phase

Specific assurance-related activities that the developer shall perform during the test phase include but are not limited to the following (Note: Some of these activities may be performed prior to this phase as applicable):

- a. Plan for and document test related activities early in the development stages of the project in a test plan(s). The plan shall be maintained under configuration control and updated as requirements are changed. All test plans shall be made subject to GSFC review and approval as applicable. The developer's test plans shall include but is not limited to the following:
  - 1. Description of the tests to be performed including the different levels of testing (from units to Computer Software Configuration Items (CSCIs) to subsystem to system-level test), expected test results, personnel responsible for testing, any required support from other organizations and data required for the test(s)
  - 2. GDS components to be tested
  - 3. Test environment under which the test(s) will be conducted including test facility requirements, special test support tools (i.e., simulators, emulators, etc.) and any special operating conditions required
  - 4. Requirements Verification Matrix (RVM) documenting traceability of requirements to test cases
- b. Generate test procedures that implement the test plans and facilitate the verification and validation of GDS requirements. All test procedures shall be made subject to GSFC review and approval as applicable. CHECK THE GLAST PROJECT WEBSITE AT

http://glast.gsfc.nasa.gov/project/cm/mcdl TO VERIFY THAT THIS IS THE CORRECT VERSION PRIOR TO USE.

- c. Maintain a process to ensure that any test tools and test data are qualified prior to use during testing activities
- d. Ensure that test personnel attend and participate as necessary in various reviews throughout the lifecycle, to include but not limited to requirements, architecture and design reviews
- e. Identify and document test readiness criteria for formal testing activities. Test criteria shall be made subject to GSFC review and approval as applicable.
- f. Maintain and update the RVM generated earlier in the lifecycle to include the status (pass, fail, deferred, etc) of each requirement throughout the testing phases and various testing activities
- g. Test reports should document the validation of requirements, specific tests completed, conformance of the test results to the expected results, the number, type and criticality of any identified discrepancies/nonconformances, identification of the hardware, software and other GDS components tested including version number, etc.
- h. Document all defects/nonconformances encountered during the testing activities. These defects/nonconformances shall be assessed for criticality, severity, impact, etc to determine appropriate action and resolution. The developer shall track and report on the status of all defects/nonconformances.
- i. Identify all nonconformances that impact the developer's ability to meet GDS requirements and document these items in a waiver, which must be reviewed/approved by GSFC as applicable
- j. Ensure and maintain configuration control of the test environment including hardware, software, simulators, test data, databases and other components throughout the test program
- k. Assess all changes made to the system architecture and its components to determine the necessity for regression testing. The developer shall conduct regression testing based upon assessed and approved/implemented changes as appropriate.
- I. Conduct contingency and off-nominal condition testing
- m. Conduct pre-test briefings and generate briefing messages where appropriate to facilitate the coordination of various test related activities. Briefing message contents may include but are not limited to:
  - 1. Test Case/Procedure Name/Number
  - 2. Purpose of the Test
  - 3. Testing Dates/Times
  - 4. Test Participants and required resources (scheduling of lab and station support, data sources (e.g. s/c, s/c data tape, engineering test unit or s/c simulator), software, hardware and support system configurations (to include release/version numbers where appropriate)
  - 5. GDS requirements to be verified
  - 6. Contact list to include names and numbers of test participants

- n. Conduct post-test debriefings. During these debriefs, the developer shall summarize test results, disposition the test (pass/fail, etc), deviations from test procedures, requirements verified and discrepancy reports generated, etc.
- o. Conduct mission simulations to validate nominal and contingency mission operating procedures and to provide for operator familiarization training. In order to provide ample time for checkout of operational configurations, it is considered essential that users participate in mission simulations. Ground operation procedures shall be delivered to the Government in accordance with **DID G**.

A System Performance Verification Plan, Performance Verification Procedures, and Verification Reports shall be delivered to the Government in accordance with **DID I**, **DID J**, and **DID K** respectively.

## 2.9 Delivery Phase

Specific assurance-related activities that the developer shall perform during the delivery phase include but are not limited to the following (Note: Some of these activities may be performed prior to this phase as applicable):

- 1. System delivery letter:
  - (a) Description of hardware and software delivery contents
  - (b) Build instructions, including the source code, databases and all files required to complete a successful software build
  - (c) Special operating instructions
  - (d) List of resolved anomaly reports and change requests
  - (e) List of unresolved anomaly reports and change requests
  - (f) Copy of resolved anomaly reports and change requests
  - (g) Copy of unresolved anomaly reports and change requests
  - (h) Matrix of requirements addressed by this release, including waivers for those requirements not met as appropriate
  - (i) List of changes to documentation associated with this release
  - (j) Verification success criteria
  - (k) Known problems and workarounds
- 2. Software delivery media
- 3. Accompanying documentation

Data delivery packages shall be delivered to the Government in accordance with DID L.

# 2.10 COTS, Existing and Purchased Software

If the developer will be provided software, or will use existing or purchased software and/or COTS products, the developer is responsible for these components meeting all functional, performance and interface requirements. Any significant modification to these components shall be subject to all of the provisions of the developer's QMS and CHECK THE GLAST PROJECT WEBSITE AT

http://glast.gsfc.nasa.gov/project/cm/mcdl TO VERIFY THAT THIS IS THE CORRECT VERSION PRIOR TO USE.

the provisions of this document. Significant modification will be defined by the project and its CCB procedures and will be subject to GSFC review.

## 2.11 COTS Management

The developer shall identify and maintain traceability of GDS requirements satisfied by COTS products/components and shall document the rationale/justification for the selection of all COTS components contained within the GDS. The developer shall ensure that the CM program covers all COTS/components.

The developer shall demonstrate and document the fulfillment of GDS requirements by COTS products/components via the RVM.

#### 2.12 Databases

The developer shall maintain a process and procedures for database development as appropriate. The process shall include activities such as internal reviews, walkthroughs, statusing, test, and discrepancy resolution.

The developer shall utilize a process for the verification and validation of the database system.

The developer shall ensure that system/software releases and database releases are configured with one another.

The developer shall implement CM on the database system to ensure that the database release version is defined and documented, controlled and that the integrity of the data contained within is controlled.

The developer shall ensure that appropriate security measures are implemented on the database system and on the data contained within the database system.

# 2.13 Security Assurance

The developer shall conduct a security program to identify and mitigate security risks associated with the GDS and its components. All security risks shall be assessed/analyzed for impact and likelihood of occurrence. The security program shall ensure that security requirements are established, documented and implemented during all phases of the software lifecycle. Security tasks and activities shall include the addressing of security concerns during reviews, analyses, inspections, testing and audits.

The developer shall identify and characterize system security vulnerabilities to include analyzing GDS assets/components, defining specific vulnerabilities, and providing an assessment of the overall system vulnerability. The developer shall identify and report

upon all breaches of, attempted breaches of, or mistakes that could potentially lead to a breach of security.

The developer shall ensure that solutions are verified and validated with respect to security.

The developer shall be compliant with all NASA security related policies, procedures, standards and guidelines.

# 2.14 Electromagnetic Compatibility Control

The developer shall demonstrate that GDS equipment is not affected by electromagnetic compatibility problems nor does it pose a threat to other equipment.

# 2.15 Reliability, Availability, and Maintainability

Reliability, availability and maintainability assurance requirements for the GDS and associated components shall include the following:

- a. Starting in the conceptual design stage the developer shall clearly define, based upon GLAST mission success criteria and reliability requirements, levels of performance. The developer shall establish and implement specific design criteria needed to mitigate unacceptable levels of performance. Design criteria shall be accessible for GSFC review.
- b. Based on the definition of acceptable levels of performance, the developer shall define the following minimum acceptable maintainability parameters:
  - 1. Diagnostic time to detect and fault isolate to the defective Line Replacement Unit (LRU)
  - 2. Time required to remove and replace the defective LRU
  - 3. Time required to complete checkout and restore operational status
- c. The developer shall assure that equipment and components obtained from COTS vendors meet allocated requirements and if not, such deficiencies shall be reported to GSFC.
- d. The developer shall develop and implement specific design criteria to facilitate maintenance or repair actions. In establishing maintainability design criteria that meets the specification, the contractor shall use data obtained from similar system installations. Design criteria shall include design for modularity, optimum accessibility, accurate fault diagnostics, standardization, and commonality. Design criteria shall be accessible for GSFC review.

# 2.16 Risk Management

The developer shall implement a Continuous Risk Management System (CRMS) that provides for the identification, analysis, tracking, communication, resolution, mitigation and retirement of mission risks. Risk management applies to all software and hardware products, components and processes. The developer shall:

- a. Search for, locate, identify and document reliability and quality risks before they become problems.
- b. Evaluate, classify and prioritize all identified reliability and quality risks.
- c. Develop and implement risk mitigation strategies, actions and tasks and assign appropriate resources.
- d. Track risks being mitigated; capture risk attributes and mitigation information by collecting data; establish performance metrics; and examine trends, deviations and anomalies.
- e. Control risks by performing risk close-out, re-planning, contingency planning, or continued tracking and execution of the current plan.
- f. Communicate and document (via the risk recording, reporting, and monitoring system) risk information to ensure it is conveyed between all levels of the project.
- g. Report on outstanding risk items at all management and design reviews. The GSFC Project Office, the GSFC Systems Review Office (SRO) (for design reviews only), and the developer will agree on what level of detail is appropriate for each review.

The developer shall develop a Risk Management Plan, see <u>DID N</u>. The plan shall include risks associated with hardware (technical challenges, new technology qualification, etc), software, COTS, system safety, performance and programmatic risks (cost and schedule). The plan shall identify which tools and techniques will be used to manage the risks. The risk areas that are identified shall be addressed at the peer reviews and at independent and Code 300 reviews. All identified reliability and quality risks shall be documented and reported in accordance with the Project's Risk Management Plan. Risk status shall be available to the Project for review. The status of risks shall also be provided in technical review reports. Although not all risks will be fully mitigated, all risks shall be addressed with mitigation and acceptance strategies agreed upon at appropriate mission reviews. If the developer delivers other documentation that meets the requirements of the Risk Management Plan, then the Risk Management Plan will not be required to be a deliverable.

# 2.17 Software Configuration Management

The developer shall maintain a Software Configuration Management (SCM) system that provides control of changes to software products, beginning in the requirements phase and continuing until government acceptance.

The developer shall ensure the configuration management system addresses baseline control, configuration identification, configuration control, configuration status accounting and configuration authentication. The developer shall describe the SCM system in a SCM Plan, see **DID O.** If the developer delivers other documentation that CHECK THE GLAST PROJECT WEBSITE AT

http://glast.gsfc.nasa.gov/project/cm/mcdl TO VERIFY THAT THIS IS THE CORRECT VERSION PRIOR TO USE.

meets the requirements of the SCM Plan, then the SCM Plan will not be required to be a deliverable.

## 2.18 System Safety

The developer shall initiate a safety program to identify and mitigate safety critical GDS components. If any GDS component(s) are identified as safety critical, the developer shall conduct a safety program on those components in compliance with NPG 8715.3, "NASA Safety Manual". For GDS components that are software and deemed as safety critical, the safety program shall be implemented in accordance with NASA-STD-8719.13A "NASA Software Safety Standard". The developer shall establish and identify procedures and instructions, which will be used to execute all system safety analyses.

## 2.19 Problem Reporting and Corrective Action

The developer shall implement a process for Problem Reporting and Corrective Action that addresses reporting, analyzing and correcting nonconformances throughout the development lifecycle. The developer's QMS shall provide for a corrective action process that tracks every nonconformance to its final disposition.

The Problem Reporting system and Corrective Action process shall include:

- a. Nonconformance detection and reporting procedures.
- b. Nonconformance tracking and management procedures.
- c. Nonconformance impact assessment and corrective action procedures.
- d. Interfaces to the Configuration Management process.

# 2.20 Independent Verification and Validation

This section is applicable only if a NASA Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) program is required for the GDS.

The developer shall provide all information required for the IV&V effort to NASA IV&V personnel. This includes, but is not limited to, access to all software reviews and reports, developer plans and procedures, software code, software design documentation, and software problem reporting data. Wherever possible, the developer shall permit electronic access to the required information or furnish soft copies of requested information to NASA IV&V personnel.

The developer shall review and assess all NASA IV&V findings and recommendations. The developer shall forward their assessment of these findings and recommendations to NASA IV&V personnel accordingly. A developer Point of Contact shall be assigned and available to NASA IV&V personnel for questions, clarification, and status meetings, as needed.

#### 3.0 Referenced Documents

ANSI/ISO/ASQ Q9001: 2000 American National Standard Quality Systems - Model for

Quality Assurance in Design, Development, Production,

Installation and Servicing

CR 5320-9 Payload and Experiment Failure Mode Effects Analysis and

Critical Items List Ground Rules

EWR 127-1 Eastern and Western Range Safety Requirements FAP P-302.720 Performing a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis ISO 10013 Guidelines For Developing Quality Manuals

KHB 1700.7C Space Transportation System Payload Ground Safety

Handbook

KHB 1710.2C Kennedy Space Center Safety Practices Handbook MIL-STD-1629A Procedures for Performing a Failure Mode Effects and

Criticality Analysis

MIL-STD-461 Electromagnetic Interference Characteristics Requirements for

Equipment

NASA-STD 8719.13A NASA Software Safety Standard

NPG 2810.1 NASA Security of Information Technology

NPG 8715.3 NASA Safety Manual

# 4.0 Acronyms

ANSI American National Standards Institute

ASQ American Society for Quality
CCB Configuration Control Board
CCR Configuration Change Request

CDR Critical Design Review

CDRL Contract Delivery Requirement List

CIL Critical Items List

CM Configuration Management

COTR (GSFC GLAST) Contracting Officer's Technical Representative

COTS Commercial Off The Shelf

CRMS Continuous Risk Management System CSCI Computer Software Configuration Items

DBMS Database Management System

DID Data Item Description

EMC Electromagnetic Compatibility
EMI Electromagnetic Interference
FCA Functional Control Audit

FMEA Failure Modes and Effects Analysis

FMECA Failure Modes and Effects and Criticality Analysis

GBM GLAST Burst Monitor
GDS Ground Data System

GFE Government Furnished Equipment
GIA Government Inspection Agency

GLAST Gamma-ray Large Area Space Telescope

GSFC Goddard Space Flight Center IAC Independent Assurance Contractor

ICD Interface Control Document

IOC In-Orbit Checkout

IV&V Independent Verification and Validation

L&EO Launch and Early Orbit LRU Line Replacement Unit LAT Large Area Telescope

MAR Mission Assurance Requirements (Document)

MOC Mission Operations Center ORR Operation Readiness Review

PAIP Performance Assurance Implementation Plan

PCA Physical Control Audit
PDR Preliminary Design Review
PSR Pre-Shipment Review
QA Quality Assurance

QMS Quality Management System RVM Requirements Verification Matrix

SAM (GSFC GLAST) Systems Assurance Manager

S&MA System Safety and Mission Assurance SCM Software Configuration Management

SDP Software Development Plan

SOW Statement of Work

CHECK THE GLAST PROJECT WEBSITE AT

http://glast.gsfc.nasa.gov/project/cm/mcdl TO VERIFY THAT THIS IS THE CORRECT VERSION PRIOR TO USE.

SRO

Systems Review Office System Requirements Review SRR

# **APPENDIX A**

# SAFETY AND MISSION ASSURANCE RELATED GROUND DATA SYSTEM DELIVERABLES

Table A- 1: Summary of Safety and Mission Assurance Related Deliverables

DID Ltr.	Description	Due Date, Maturity	A/I
Α	Performance Assurance Implementation Plan	30 Days Prior SRR As Generated, Updates	А
В	Quality Manual	30 Days After Contract Award As Generated, Updates	I
С	Quality Assurance Plan	30 Days Prior SRR, Final As Generated, Updates	А
D	Software Development Plan	90 Days After SRR, Final As Generated, Updates	А
Е	GDS Requirements	30 Days Prior SRR, Initial 30 Days Prior PDR, Final As Generated, Updates	I A A
F	GDS Review Packages	30 Days Prior to the Appropriate Review	1
G	Ground Operations Procedures	90 Days Prior to ORR, Preliminary ORR, Final	ı
Н	System Performance Verification Plan	30 Days Prior PDR, Initial 30 Days Prior CDR, Final As Generated, Updates	I A A
I	Performance Verification Procedure	30 Days Prior to Test, Final	I
J	Verification Reports	Verification Reports: 72 Hours After Test, Initial Verification Reports: 30 Days After Verification Activity, Final	I
К	Data Delivery Packages	Provided with hardware and software deliveries, Final	I
L	Risk Management Plan	30 Days Prior SRR, Final As Generated, Updates	А

М	Ç	Software Configuration Management Plan	30 Days Prior PDR, Initial 30 Days Prior CDR, Final As Generated, Updates	Α
Table	e Acror	nyms/Abbreviations:		
	A A/I CDR DID I PDR PSR SRR	For Approval For Approval/For Information Critical Design Review Data Item Description For Information Preliminary Design Review Pre Ship Review System Requirements Revie		

#### DID A: Performance Assurance Implementation Plan

Title: Performance Assurance Implementation Plan	DID No.: A		
Reference:			
Ground Data System MAR, Section 1.1			
Purpose: Documents the developer's plan on how the developer will implement the listed in the GDS MAR	e requirements		
Related Documents:			
None			
Preparation Information: Provide within 30 days prior to the SRR for GSFC approval. Updates ar as generated.	e due to GSFC		
The PAIP shall include:			
a. An overview of the developer's plan for accomplishing the assured required by the GDS MAR	rance activities		
b. Specific and detailed description of how the performance assurance are to be accomplished. Referenced documents that provide the			
shall be submitted with the PAIP.  c. The rationale for any planned noncompliance to the GDS MAR incluof the developer's alternate approach, if any, to meet the spectrequirement	•		

#### **DID B: Quality Manual**

Title:	DID No.:
Quality Manual	В
Reference:	
Ground Data System MAR, Sections 2.1	
Purpose:	
Documents the developer's quality management system	
Related Documents:	
ANSI/ISO/ASO 09001	

#### **Preparation Information:**

Provide with proposal for GSFC review. Provide Quality Manual updates to GSFC Project Office for review prior to implementation or provide with proposal for information along with evidence of third party certification/registration of the developer's quality management system by an accredited registrar.

Prepare a Quality Manual addressing all applicable requirements of ANSI/ISO/ASQ Q9001. Refer to ISO 10013 for further guidelines on preparation of a quality manual.

The Quality Manual shall contain:

- a. The title, approval page, scope and the field of application
- b. Table of contents
- c. Introductory pages about the organization concerned and the manual itself
- d. The quality policy and objectives of the organization
- e. The description of the organization, responsibilities and authorities, including the organization responsible for the reliability, safety and test requirements implementation
- f. A description of the elements of the quality system, developer policy regarding each element and developer implementation procedure for each Q9001 clause or reference(s) to approved quality system procedures. System level procedures shall address the implementation of all requirements cited in this document.
- g. A definitions section, if appropriate
- h. An appendix for supportive data, if appropriate

Quality Manual distribution and changes shall be implemented by a controlled process. The Quality Manual shall be maintained/updated by the developer throughout the life of the contract.

DID C: Quality Assurance Plan	
Title:	DID No.:
Quality Assurance Plan	С
Reference:	
Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.2	
Purpose: The purpose of the Quality Assurance Plan is to specify the concassurance, quality engineering assurance, safety assurance, security assurance, testing, verification and validation, and certification during the development of software.	y and privacy
Related Documents:	
None	
Preparation Information: The final quality assurance plan is due to GSFC 30 days prior to SRI Updates are due to GSFC as generated.	R for approval.
Topics to be included in the Quality Assurance Plan are:	
<ul> <li>a. Quality Assurance</li> <li>b. Verification and Validation</li> <li>c. Quality Engineering Assurance</li> <li>d. Safety Assurance</li> <li>e. Security and Privacy Assurance</li> <li>f. Certification</li> </ul>	

## DID D: Software Development Plan

Software Development Plan  Reference: Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.2  Purpose: This data item provides an outline for the Software Development Plan  Related Documents: None
Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.2  Purpose: This data item provides an outline for the Software Development Plan  Related Documents: None
Purpose: This data item provides an outline for the Software Development Plan Related Documents: None
This data item provides an outline for the Software Development Plan  Related Documents: None
Related Documents: None
None
Preparation Information:
The final Software Development Plan is due to GSFC 90 days following the SRR for approval. Updates are due to GSFC as generated.
Topics to be included in the Software Development Plan are:
a. Purpose and Description b. Resources, Budgets, Schedules, and Organization c. Acquisition Activities d. Development Activities e. Sustaining Engineering and Operations Activities f. Quality Assurance g. Risk Management h. Configuration Management i. Delivery and Operational Transition

#### DID E: GDS Requirements

Title:	DID No.:
GDS Requirements	E
Reference:	
Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.2	
Purpose:	
The CDC Description of the fractional manfarm	
The GDS Requirements specifies in detail all of the functional, perform	
security and safety requirements associated with the Ground Data System Related Documents:	11.
None	
Preparation Information:	
Preliminary GDS Requirements are due to GSFC 30 days prior to SF	R for review
Final GDS Requirements are due to GSFC 30 days prior to PDR for appli	
are due to GSFC as generated.	
and the same grant and	
In addition to the GDS Requirements, a traceability matrix will be provided	that maps
each requirement to the parent requirement from which it was derived. Ac	dditionally, the
test method used to verify each requirement will be identified.	

#### **DID F: GDS Review Packages**

Title:	DID No.:
GDS Review Packages	F

#### Reference:

Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.3

#### Purpose:

Reviews shall be held to provide a greater understanding and in-depth look at the GDS and the process in use to design, implement, test and verify.

#### **Related Documents:**

None

#### **Preparation Information:**

Review packages are due to GSFC 30 days prior to the review for review.

The following review packages will contain at a minimum:

System Requirements Review:

- a. Review of requirements
- b. Risk and mitigation strategies for the requirements
- c. Requirement management approach, tools
- d. Status of requirements related deliverables
- e. Test philosophy, test tools

#### Preliminary Design Review:

- a. Organization/Work Breakdown Structure/Project relationship
- b. Deliverables and schedules
- c. Configuration Management and Product Assurance approach, tools
- d. Requirements and interface management
- e. Development tools and approach
- f. Risk Management approach
- g. Risks identified; mitigation
- h. Test approach and test planning status

#### Critical Design Review:

- a. Deliverables and schedules, time budgets update
- b. Product Assurance methods and findings update
- c. On a component, task, class, thread, or other functional block boundary: cover function/requirement, inputs/outputs, timing/sizing estimates, throughput requirements, command inputs, telemetry and status outputs.
- d. For test software and simulations identified to meet requirements, review the status and technical progress on these elements.
- e. Risks, issues and mitigation

#### Mission Operations Review:

- a. Overall schedule and status
- b. Closure of previous reviews
- c. Mission operations readiness approach

d. Software maintenance approach e. Mission planning and scheduling f. Real-time operations including: health and safety monitoring, safe mode operation g. Science operations planning, data processing and analysis a. Risks, issues and mitigation Operations Readiness Review: a. Closure of actions from the Mission Operations Review b. New requirements and changes in plans c. Test result summaries including the Project's assessment of the criticality of open problems d. Work remaining including tests, simulations, and closure of problems e. Personnel location for Launch & Early Orbit (L&EO) and In-Orbit Checkout (IOC) including Project office, operations, and spacecraft subsystem expert personnel. Contingency procedures, development and verification/validation status

#### DID G: Ground Operations Procedures

Title: Ground Operations Procedures	DID No.: G	
Reference: Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.8		
Purpose: All ground operations procedures to be used at GSFC facilities, other integration facilities, or the launch site shall be submitted to the GSFC Project Safety Manager for review and concurrence. Launch site ground operations procedures shall be submitted to applicable Range Safety 45 days prior to use.		
Related Documents: EWR-127, KHB 1700.7C, KHB 1710.2D, and/or launch vehicle contracto	r	
Preparation Information: Preliminary ground operation procedures are due to GSFC 90 days price Final ground operation procedures will be submitted at the ORR.	or to the ORR.	
All hazardous operations as well as the procedures to control them sha and highlighted. All launch site procedures shall comply with the applica safety regulation.		

DID I: System Performance Verification Plan	
Title:	DID No.:
System Performance Verification Plan	Н
Reference: Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.8	
Purpose:	
Provides the overall approach for accomplishing the verification progra	m. Defines the
specific tests, analyses, calibrations, alignments, etc. that will demo	
hardware complies with the mission requirements	
Related Documents:	
None	
Preparation Information:	r to the DDD for
A preliminary system performance verification plan is due 30 days prio GSFC review. The final system performance verification plan is due to	
prior to the CDR for GSFC approval. Updates are due to GSFC as gene	
prior to the OBIT for Got G approval. Opuates are due to Got G as gene	idiod.
Describes the approach (test, analysis, etc.) that will be utilized to	verify that the
hardware/software complies with mission requirements. If verification r	elies on tests or
analyses at other level of assemblies, describe the relationships.	
A section of the plan shall be a "System Performance Verification Matr	iv" cummarizina
the flow-down of system specification requirements that stipula	
requirement will be verified, and summarizes compliance/non-compli	
requirements. It shall show each specification requirement, the refere	•
the specific paragraph or line item), the method of compliance, applic	able procedure
references, report reference numbers, etc. The System Performa	nce Verification
Matrix may be made a separate document.	

#### DID J: Performance Verification Procedure

<u>Title:</u>	DID No.:
Performance Verification Procedure	
Reference:	
Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.8	
Purpose:	
Describes how each test activity defined in the Verification Plan will be in	plemented
Related Documents:	
None  Proportion Information:	
<u>Preparation Information:</u> The performance verification procedure is due to GSFC 30 days prio GSFC approval.	r to testing for
Describe the configuration of the tested item and the step-by-step function conducted at the unit/component, subsystem/instrument, and payload details such as instrumentation monitoring, facility control sequence functions, test parameters, quality control checkpoints, pass/fail criteria and reporting requirements. Address safety control provisions. A method provided for controlling, documenting and approving all activities rapproved procedure.	d levels. Give es, test article data collection dology shall be

#### **DID K: Verification Reports**

Title:	DID No.:
Verification Reports	J
Reference: Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.8	
Purpose:	
Summarize compliance with system specification requirements an summary of testing and analysis results, including conformance, nonco trend data	
Related Documents	
None	
Preparation Information:  Preliminary verification reports are due to GSFC 72 hours after test verification report is due to GSFC 30 days after the verification activity.	ing. The final
Verification Report: Provide after each unit/component, subsystem/i payload verification activity. For each analysis activity the report shadegree to which the objectives were accomplished, how well the math was validated by the test data, and other significant results.	all describe the

DID L: Data Delivery Packages

Title:	DID No.:
Data Delivery Packages	K
Reference:	
Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.9	
Purpose:	
Describe the documentation that accompanies hardware and software d	eliveries
Related Documents	
None	
Preparation Information:	
Each hardware and software delivery must have a Data Delivery Package	ge that contains
the items listed in the Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.9, as approp	

DID N: Risk Management Plan	
Title: Risk Management Plan	DID No.: L
Reference:	L
Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.16	
Purpose:	
The purpose of the Risk Management Plan is to define the process developer identifies, evaluates and minimizes the risks associat development	
Related Documents	
None	
Preparation Information: The final Risk Management plan is due to GSFC 30 days prior to the review. Updates are due to GSFC as generated.	SRR for GSFC
Topics to be included in the Risk Management Plan are:	
a. Risk Assessment and Evaluation Process b. Technical Risks. c. Safety Risks d. Security Risks e. Resource Risks f. Schedule Risks g. Cost Risks	

DID O: Software Configuration Management Plan		
Title:	DID No.:	
Software Configuration Management Plan	M	
Reference:		
Ground Data System MAR, Section 2.17		
Purpose: The purpose of the Software Configuration Management Plan is	to define the	
configuration management process for the software and its associated p		
Related Documents	noddolo.	
None		
Preparation Information:		
The initial Software Configuration Management Plan is due to GSFC 3 the PDR for GSFC review. The final Software Configuration Managem to GSFC 30 days prior to the CDR for GSFC approval. Updates are d generated.	ent Plan is due	
Topics to be included in the Software Configuration Management Plan are	e:	
a. Configuration Management Process Overview b. Configuration Control Activities c. Configuration Identification d. Configuration Change Control e. Controlled Storage and Release Management f. Change Control Flow g. Change Documentation h. Change Review Process i. Configuration Status Accounting		